

DUALITY ON THE (CO)CHAIN TYPE LEVELS

KATSUHIKO KURIBAYASHI

ABSTRACT. We establish equalities between cochain and chain type levels of maps by making use of exact functors which connect certain derived and coderived categories. This shows that the two types of levels are related by a sort of Eckmann-Hilton duality. We also discuss a variant of Koszul duality for a differential graded algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [2], Avramov, Buchweitz, Iyengar and Miller introduced numerical invariants of objects in triangulated categories, called the *levels*. With the notion, the cochain and chain type levels of maps were defined and studied in [21] and [22]. The cochain type level of a map $\alpha : Y \rightarrow X$ provides a lower bound on the number of spherical fibrations which describe a factorization of α in a relevant sense; see [21, Proposition 2.11]. On the other hand, the chain type level of the identity map on a space Y gives an upper bound of the L.-S. category of Y in rational case; see [22, Corollary 2.9]. The L.-S. category is also considered a homotopy invariant counting the number of cofibrations which construct a given space. Therefore it is natural to anticipate that the levels of maps inherit duality between fibrations and cofibrations, namely Eckmann-Hilton duality. For example, we expect that (co)chain type levels fit into an equality, which we may call duality on the levels.

The aim of this article is to establish such an equality between these two kinds of levels. One of the highlights in getting the result is that we make use of a variant of Koszul duality for differential graded algebras which consists of exact functors between certain derived and coderived categories; see [14] and [18] for the duality.

To describe duality on the (co)chain type levels, we recall from [2, Section 2] the definition of the level of an object in a triangulated category $\mathcal{T}$. We say that a subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ is strict if it is closed under isomorphisms in $\mathcal{T}$.

For a given object C in $\mathcal{T}$, we define the 0th *thickening* by $\mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^0(C) = \{0\}$ and $\mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^1(C)$ to be the smallest strict full subcategory which contains C and is closed under taking finite coproducts, retracts and all shifts. Moreover for $n > 1$ define inductively the n th thickening $\mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^n(C)$ by the smallest strict full subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ which is closed under retracts and contains objects M admitting a distinguished triangle

$$M_1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow \Sigma M_1$$

in $\mathcal{T}$ for which M_1 and M_2 are in $\mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^{n-1}(C)$ and $\mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^1(C)$, respectively. A triangulated subcategory $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ is said to be *thick* if it is closed under taking

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 16E45, 18E30, 55R20, 13D07.

Key words and phrases. Level, differential graded algebra, triangulated category, Koszul duality.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science, Shinshu University, Matsumoto, Nagano 390-8621, Japan e-mail:kuri@math.shinshu-u.ac.jp

retracts. Then the thickenings provide a filtration of the smallest thick subcategory $\mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}(C)$ of $\mathcal{T}$ containing the object C :

$$\{0\} = \mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^0(C) \subset \cdots \subset \mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^n(C) \subset \cdots \subset \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^n(C) = \mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}(C).$$

For an object M in $\mathcal{T}$, we define a numerical invariant $\text{level}_{\mathcal{T}}^C(M)$, which is called the C -level of M , by

$$\text{level}_{\mathcal{T}}^C(M) := \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid M \in \mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^n(C)\}.$$

It turns out that the C -level of an object M in $\mathcal{T}$ counts the number of steps required to build M out of the object C via triangles.

Certainly, the level is closely related to the notion of dimension of a triangulated category $\mathcal{T}$ introduced by Rouquier [27]; see also [5]. Indeed the dimension $\dim \mathcal{T}$ is defined by

$$\dim \mathcal{T} = \inf\{d \in \mathbb{N} \mid \mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^{d+1}(C) = \mathcal{T} \text{ for some object } C \text{ in } \mathcal{T}\}.$$

However, the dimension gives an global invariant of triangulated categories while the level captures properties of individual objects; see Remark 1.3 below. For more details and general features of the level, we refer the reader to [2, Sections 2 and 3].

Let R be a DG (that is, differential graded) algebra over a field $\mathbb{K}$. Let $D(R)$ denote the derived category of DG right R -modules. Observe that the category $D(R)$ comes equipped with the structure of a triangulated category [17], in particular with shift functor Σ defined by $(\Sigma M)^n = M^{n+1}$.

We here recall from [21] and [22] two numerical topological invariants defined by the level in the triangulated category $D(R)$ for which R is the singular (co)chain complex of a space with coefficients in a field $\mathbb{K}$. Unless otherwise explicitly stated, we assume that a space has the homotopy type of a connected CW complex whose cohomology with coefficients in the underlying field is locally finite.

Let B be a space and $\mathcal{TOP}_B$ the category of maps with the target B ; that is, an object of $\mathcal{TOP}_B$ is a map $f : X \rightarrow B$ and a morphism from $f : X \rightarrow B$ to $g : Y \rightarrow B$ is a map $\alpha : X \rightarrow Y$ which satisfies the condition that $f = g \circ \alpha$. For any object $f : X \rightarrow B$, the normalized singular cochain $C^*(X; \mathbb{K})$ of the source space X of f is regarded as a DG right module over the cochain algebra $C^*(B; \mathbb{K})$ via the induced map $C^*(f) : C^*(B; \mathbb{K}) \rightarrow C^*(X; \mathbb{K})$. Thus the cochain functor gives rise to a contravariant functor from the category $\mathcal{TOP}_B$ to the triangulated category $D(C^*(B; \mathbb{K}))$:

$$C^*(s(-); \mathbb{K}) : \mathcal{TOP}_B \rightarrow D(C^*(B; \mathbb{K})),$$

where $s(f)$ denotes the source of an object f in $\mathcal{TOP}_B$.

Definition 1.1. Let f be an object of $\mathcal{TOP}_B$. The *cochain type level* of the map f is defined by the $C^*(B; \mathbb{K})$ -level of the DG module $C^*(s(f); \mathbb{K})$, namely $\text{level}_{D(C^*(B; \mathbb{K}))}^{C^*(B; \mathbb{K})}(C^*(s(f); \mathbb{K}))$.

Let F_f be the homotopy fibre of a map $f : X \rightarrow B$. The Moore loop space ΩB acts on the space F_f by the holonomy action. Thus the normalized chain complex $C_*(F_f; \mathbb{K})$ is a DG module over the chain algebra $C_*(\Omega B; \mathbb{K})$. The normalized singular chain and the homotopy fibre construction enable us to obtain a covariant functor

$$C_*(F(-); \mathbb{K}) : \mathcal{TOP}_B \rightarrow D(C_*(\Omega B; \mathbb{K}))$$

from the category $\mathcal{TOP}_B$ to the triangulated category $D(C_*(\Omega B; \mathbb{K}))$.

Definition 1.2. Let f be an object of $\mathcal{TOP}_B$. The *chain type level* of the map f is defined by the $C_*(\Omega B; \mathbb{K})$ -level of the DG module $C_*(F_f; \mathbb{K})$, namely $\text{level}_{D(C_*(\Omega B; \mathbb{K}))}^{C_*(\Omega B; \mathbb{K})}(C_*(F_f; \mathbb{K}))$.

More generally, we call the levels of objects in $D(C_*(\Omega B; \mathbb{K}))$ and in $D(C^*(B; \mathbb{K}))$ the chain type levels and the cochain type levels, respectively. In what follows, the coefficients in the singular (co)chain complex and their homology are often omitted if the context makes them clear.

Remark 1.3. Let $\mathcal{T}^c$ be the full subcategory of the triangulated category $\mathcal{T} = D(A)$ consisting of compact objects, where $A = C^*(S^d; \mathbb{K})$. The result [28, Proposition 6.6] implies that for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an indecomposable object Z_i in $\mathcal{T}^c$ such that $\text{level}_{\mathcal{T}}^A Z_i = \text{level}_{\mathcal{T}^c}^A Z_i = i + 1$. On the other hand, $\dim \mathcal{T}^c = \infty$. In fact, if $\dim \mathcal{T}^c = l < \infty$, then there is an object C in $\mathcal{T}^c$ such that $\mathcal{T}^c = \text{thick}_{\mathcal{T}^c}^{l+1}(C)$. This yields that $\text{level}_{\mathcal{T}^c}^C M \leq l + 1$ for any object $M \in \mathcal{T}^c$. Since C is compact, it follows from [17, Theorem 5.3] that $\text{level}_{\mathcal{T}}^A C = N$ for some N . Then a triangular inequality (Lemma 3.1) implies that

$$\text{level}_{\mathcal{T}}^A M \leq \text{level}_{\mathcal{T}}^A C \cdot \text{level}_{\mathcal{T}^c}^C M \leq N(\dim \mathcal{T}^c + 1)$$

for any M in $\mathcal{T}^c$. As mentioned above, we have an indecomposable object Z in $\mathcal{T}^c$ with $\text{level}_{\mathcal{T}}^A Z > N(\dim \mathcal{T}^c + 1)$, which is a contradiction.

Our main theorem reveals a remarkable relationship between the (co)chain type levels.

Theorem 1.4. *Let B be a simply-connected space and $f : X \rightarrow B$ an object in $\mathcal{TOP}_B$. Then one has (in)equalities*

- (1) $\dim H_*(X; \mathbb{K}) \geq \text{level}_{D(C_*(\Omega B))}^{C_*(\Omega B)}(C_*(F_f)) = \text{level}_{D(C^*(B))}^{\mathbb{K}}(C^*(X))$ and
- (2) $\dim H^*(F_f; \mathbb{K}) \geq \text{level}_{D(C^*(B))}^{C^*(B)}(C^*(X)) = \text{level}_{D(C_*(\Omega B))}^{\mathbb{K}}(C_*(F_f))$.

The equalities in Theorem 1.4 can be regarded as duality on the (co)chain type levels. Indeed, as mentioned above, the theorem follows from a more precise correspondence between the triangulated categories $D(C_*(\Omega B))$ and $D(C^*(B))$, which is a variant of Koszul duality for DG algebras; see Theorem 2.3. It is also important to remark that, in order to deduce (1) and (2) in Theorem 1.4, we do not use any equivalence between $D(C_*(\Omega B))$ and $D(C^*(B))$. To obtain the equalities, we just need exact functors between the triangulated categories which are compatible with the covariant functor $C_*(F_{(-)})$ and the contravariant functor $C^*(s(-))$.

Theorem 1.4 and a *triangular inequality* on the levels (Lemma 3.1) allows us to compare the (co)chain type levels of spaces.

Proposition 1.5. *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 1.4, one has inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{level}_{D(C_*(\Omega B))}^{C_*(\Omega B)}(C_*(F_f)) &\leq \text{level}_{D(C_*(\Omega B))}^{C_*(\Omega B)}(\mathbb{K}) \cdot \text{level}_{D(C^*(B))}^{C^*(B)}(C^*(X)) \\ &\leq \dim H_*(B; \mathbb{K}) \cdot \text{level}_{D(C^*(B))}^{C^*(B)}(C^*(X)) \quad \text{and} \\ \text{level}_{D(C^*(B))}^{C^*(B)}(C^*(X)) &\leq \text{level}_{D(C^*(B))}^{C^*(B)}(\mathbb{K}) \cdot \text{level}_{D(C_*(\Omega B))}^{C_*(\Omega B)}(C_*(F_f)) \\ &\leq \dim H^*(\Omega B; \mathbb{K}) \cdot \text{level}_{D(C_*(\Omega B))}^{C_*(\Omega B)}(C_*(F_f)). \end{aligned}$$

As a corollary of Theorem 1.4, we have criteria for the levels of spaces to be finite. Let M be an object of the triangulated category $D(R)$ of DG-modules over a DG algebra R . Then it is immediate that $\dim H(M) < \infty$ if $\text{level}_{D(R)}^{\mathbb{K}} M < \infty$. Thus we have

Corollary 1.6. *Let $f : X \rightarrow B$ be a map with B simply-connected.*

- (1) $\text{level}_{D(C_*(\Omega B))}^{C_*(\Omega B)}(C_*(F_f))$ is finite if and only if so is $\dim H_*(X; \mathbb{K})$.
- (2) $\text{level}_{D(C^*(B))}^{C^*(B)}(C^*(X))$ is finite if and only if so is $\dim H^*(F_f; \mathbb{K})$.

This result is essentially a special case of [11, Proposition 2.3]; see also [2, Theorem 4.8] for other equivalence conditions for the level to be finite.

Let X be a simply-connected rational space. The result [22, Corollary 2.9] states that

$$\text{cat} X \leq \text{level}_{D(C_*(\Omega X))}^{C_*(\Omega X)} \mathbb{Q} - 1.$$

Moreover, a simple calculation made in [22, Example 6.4] allows us to conclude that if X is a simply-connected rational H-space with $\dim H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) < \infty$, then the above inequality turns out to be the equality. On the other hand, the inequality can be strict as we will see below.

Example 1.7. Let X be an infinite wedge of spheres of the form $\bigvee_{\alpha} S^{n_{\alpha}}$. Then $\text{cat} X_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{cat} X = 1$. By applying Corollary 1.6 (1) to the case where $\text{id}_X : X \rightarrow X$, we see that $\text{level}_{D(C_*(\Omega X))}^{C_*(\Omega X)} \mathbb{Q} = \infty$. In fact, $H_*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ is of infinite dimension.

Corollary 1.6 (2) enables us to derive a result on the cochain type level from the totally fibred square construction. Roughly speaking, the result asserts that, in general, building finitely an object out of another is only possible in one direction.

Proposition 1.8. *Let $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ be a map between simply-connected spaces with a right homotopy inverse $s : B \rightarrow X$. We regard the map π and s as objects in $\mathcal{TOP}_B$ and $\mathcal{TOP}_X$, respectively. Then both of levels $\text{level}_{D(C^*(B; \mathbb{K}))}^{C^*(B; \mathbb{K})} C^*(X; \mathbb{K})$ and $\text{level}_{D(C^*(X; \mathbb{K}))}^{C^*(X; \mathbb{K})} C^*(B; \mathbb{K})$ are finite if and only if $H^*(F_{\pi}; \mathbb{K}) = \mathbb{K}$. In particular, the both of the two levels with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}/p$ are finite if and only if $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ is a homotopy equivalence after p -completion.*

Let $q : X \rightarrow B$ be a trivial fibration with $H^*(F_q; \mathbb{K})$ finite dimensional. Then the $C^*(B; \mathbb{K})$ -level of $C^*(X; \mathbb{K})$ in $D(C^*(B; \mathbb{K}))$ is just one for any field $\mathbb{K}$ in general. In fact, we see that $C^*(X; \mathbb{K}) \cong C^*(B; \mathbb{K}) \otimes H^*(F_q; \mathbb{K})$ in $D(C^*(B; \mathbb{K}))$. This implies that $C^*(X; \mathbb{K})$ is a coproduct of shifts of $C^*(B; \mathbb{K})$ and hence $C^*(X; \mathbb{K})$ is in $\text{thick}_{D(C^*(B; \mathbb{K}))}^1(C^*(B; \mathbb{K}))$. On the other hand, for a spherical fibration $S^l \rightarrow X \rightarrow B$, we obtain a characterization for the $C^*(B; \mathbb{K})$ -level of $C^*(X; \mathbb{K})$ to be two; see Proposition 3.2. Combining the result with Proposition 1.5, we have

Theorem 1.9. *Let B be a simply-connected space. Suppose that there exists a sequence of fibrations*

$$F_1 \longrightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{p_1} B, \quad F_2 \longrightarrow X_2 \xrightarrow{p_2} X_1, \quad \dots, \quad F_n \longrightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{p_n} X_{n-1}$$

in which X_i is simply-connected for $1 \leq i < n$ and $H^*(F_i; \mathbb{K}) \cong H^*(S^{n_i}; \mathbb{K})$ for some n_i . Then one has inequalities

$$\text{level}_{D(C^*(B; \mathbb{K}))}^{C^*(B; \mathbb{K})} C^*(X_n; \mathbb{K}) \leq 2^n \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{level}_{D(C_*(\Omega B; \mathbb{K}))}^{C_*(\Omega B; \mathbb{K})} C_*(F_f; \mathbb{K}) \leq 2^n \cdot \dim H_*(B; \mathbb{K}),$$

where $f = p_n \circ \cdots \circ p_1$.

In the rational case, the result [21, Proposition 2.7] gives a better estimate of $C^*(B; \mathbb{Q})$ -level of $C^*(X_n; \mathbb{Q})$ than that of Theorem 1.9 provide each n_i is odd.

An outline for the article is as follows. In Section 2, we prove Theorem 1.4. Section 3 is devoted to proving Proposition 1.5, Proposition 1.8 and Theorem 1.9. In Section 4, we recall results on a coderived category due to Lefèvre-Hasegawa [23] on which we rely when proving Theorem 2.3. Moreover, the key result (Theorem 2.3) to proving Theorem 1.4 is compared with a variant of Koszul duality due to He and Wu [14].

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

As we will see below, for an object f in $\mathcal{TOP}_B$, we obtain quasi-isomorphisms which connect DG modules $C^*(s(f))$ and $C_*(F_f)$ by making use of the bar and cobar constructions. In order to prove Theorem 1.4, we incorporate such the quasi-isomorphisms into arguments on appropriate derived and coderived categories.

For a graded vector space V , we denote by $V^\vee$ the graded dual $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(V, \mathbb{K})$, namely $(V^\vee)^{-k} = (V^\vee)_k = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(V^k, \mathbb{K})$. Let A be a connected DG algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ with differential of degree +1 which is non-negative or non-positive. Throughout this article, we assume further that A is simply-connected; that is, $A^1 = 0$ if A is non-negative.

Let $D(\mathbf{mod}\text{-}A)$ denote the derived category of DG right modules over A . Let C be an augmented DG coalgebra over $\mathbb{K}$ and $\mathbf{comod}\text{-}C$ the category of cocomplete DG right C -comodules. We denote by $D(\mathbf{comod}\text{-}C)$ the coderived category of cocomplete DG C -comodules, namely the localization of the category of the complete DG C -comodule at the class of weak equivalences; see [23] and also Section 4.

We may write $D(A)$ and $D(C)$ for $D(\mathbf{mod}\text{-}A)$ and $D(\mathbf{comod}\text{-}C)$, respectively.

Let $F : \mathbf{comod}\text{-}C \rightarrow C^\vee\text{-}\mathbf{mod}$ be a functor given by sending a cocomplete DG right C -comodule to the DG left $C^\vee$ -module with the same underlying $\mathbb{K}$ -module and whose multiplication is given by the natural composite

$$C^\vee \otimes M \rightarrow C^\vee \otimes M \otimes C \rightarrow C^\vee \otimes C \otimes M \rightarrow M.$$

Composing the vector space dual functor $(\)^\vee$ with F , we have an exact functor

$$tD : D(\mathbf{comod}\text{-}C) \xrightarrow{F} D(C^\vee\text{-}\mathbf{mod}) \xrightarrow{(\)^\vee} D(\mathbf{mod}\text{-}C^\vee)$$

from the coderived category to the derived category.

Remark 2.1. Let C be a finite dimensional augmented coalgebra. The result [24, 1.6.4] due to Montgomery allows one to deduce that the functor $F : \mathbf{comod}\text{-}C \rightarrow C^\vee\text{-}\mathbf{mod}$ mentioned above gives rise to an equivalence of triangulated categories.

We deal with the bar and cobar constructions below. For the (co)algebra and (co)module structures of these constructions, see [8, Section 2], [9, Section 4] and [25]. We also refer the reader to [15] for differential graded objects.

Let A be a DG algebra and consider the bar resolution $\mathbb{B}(A; A) \rightarrow {}_A\mathbb{K}$ of $\mathbb{K}$. Let $\mathbb{B}(A)$ be a DG coalgebra defined by $\mathbb{B}(A) = \mathbb{K} \otimes_A \mathbb{B}(A; A)$. By using the twisted tensor product construction associated with the natural twisting cochain

$\tau : \mathbb{B}(A) \rightarrow A$ of degree +1, we have a pair of adjoint functors

$$\mathrm{D}(\mathbb{B}(A)) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{L := - \otimes_{\tau} A} \\ \xleftarrow{R := - \otimes_{\tau} \mathbb{B}(A)} \end{array} \mathrm{D}(A).$$

For more details, see [25], [23, Ch. 2], [18] and also Section 4. We write R_A for the functor $- \otimes_{\tau} \mathbb{B}(A)$. The definition of the twisted tensor product allows one to deduce that R_A coincides with the functor $- \otimes_A \mathbb{B}(A; A)$.

Let B be a simply-connected space and $f : X \rightarrow B$ a map. Recall from [8, Theorem II] a quasi-isomorphism of DG-modules

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi : \Omega(C_*(X); C_*(B)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} C_*(F_f),$$

which is compatible actions of $\Omega C_*(B)$ and $C_*(\Omega B)$ via a quasi-isomorphism of DG algebras

$$(2.2) \quad \phi : \Omega C_*(B) \rightarrow C_*(\Omega B),$$

where $\Omega(C_*(X); C_*(B))$ denotes the cobar construction of the right $C_*(B)$ -comodule $C_*(X)$. We mention that the quasi-isomorphisms Φ and ϕ are induced from the *universal constructions* due to Adams [1]; see also [8, Section 3].

The singular cochain $C^*(X)$ is considered a $C^*(B)$ -module via $C^*(f)$. We observe that the dual $(C_*(F_f) \otimes_{C_*(\Omega B)} \mathbb{B}(C_*(\Omega B); C_*(\Omega B)))^\vee$ is a $(\mathbb{K} \otimes_{C_*(\Omega B)} \mathbb{B}(C_*(\Omega B); C_*(\Omega B)))^\vee = \mathbb{B}(C_*(\Omega B))^\vee$ -module via the dual to the comodule structure on the bar construction. Then the result [9, Theorem 7.2] enables us to obtain a commutative diagram of morphisms of DG algebras

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C^*(X) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\Psi} & (C_*(F_f) \otimes_{C_*(\Omega B)} \mathbb{B}(C_*(\Omega B); C_*(\Omega B)))^\vee \\ C^*(f) \uparrow & & \uparrow (\iota \otimes Id)^\vee \\ C^*(B) & \xrightarrow[\psi]{\simeq} & (\mathbb{K} \otimes_{C_*(\Omega B)} \mathbb{B}(C_*(\Omega B); C_*(\Omega B)))^\vee \end{array}$$

in which horizontal arrows are quasi-isomorphism and ι denotes the augmentation. In particular, the map Ψ can be regarded as a morphism of DG $C^*(B)$ -modules.

The duality on the bar and cobar constructions yields the following result.

Proposition 2.2. *There exists an equivalence*

$$\Theta : \mathrm{D}(\Omega C_*(B)) \rightarrow \mathrm{D}(\mathbb{B}(C^*(B))^\vee)$$

of triangulated categories such that for a map $f : X \rightarrow B$,

$$\Theta(\Omega(C_*(X); C_*(B))) = \mathbb{B}(C^*(X); C^*(B))^\vee,$$

where $C_*(X)$ is considered a $C_*(B)$ -comodule via the map f .

Proof. Let $u : A = TV \xrightarrow{\simeq} C^*(B)$ be a TV-model for B in the sense of Halperin and Lemaire[13]. By assumption, $H^*(B)$ is locally finite. Then without loss of generality, we can assume that A is also locally finite. In fact it follows from [13, Proposition A.8] that $V^i \cong H_{i-1}(\Omega B; \mathbb{K})$.

Let $\Delta : C_*(B) \rightarrow C_*(B) \otimes C_*(B)$ be the comultiplication on $C_*(B)$. Then the multiplication $m : C^*(B) \otimes C^*(B) \rightarrow C^*(B)$ is defined by the composite

$$C^*(B) \otimes C^*(B) = C_*(B)^\vee \otimes C_*(B)^\vee \xrightarrow{q'} (C_*(B) \otimes C_*(B))^\vee \xrightarrow{\Delta^\vee} C_*(B)^\vee = C^*(B),$$

where q' denotes the natural quasi-isomorphism. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A^\vee & \xrightarrow{m^\vee} & (A \otimes A)^\vee & \xleftarrow[\cong]{q''} & A^\vee \otimes A^\vee \\
 u^\vee \uparrow & & \uparrow (u \otimes u)^\vee & & \uparrow u^\vee \otimes u^\vee \\
 (C^*(B))^\vee & \xrightarrow{m^\vee} & (C^*(B) \otimes C^*(B))^\vee & \xleftarrow[\simeq]{q'} & C^*(B)^\vee \otimes C^*(B)^\vee \\
 q \uparrow \simeq & & & & \uparrow q \otimes q \\
 C_*(B) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & C_*(B) \otimes C_*(B) & &
 \end{array}$$

where q and q'' are the natural quasi-isomorphisms. Indeed the commutativity of the lower square follows from that of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (C^*(B))^\vee & \xrightarrow{\Delta^{\vee\vee}} & (C_*(B) \otimes C_*(B))^{\vee\vee} & \xrightarrow{(q')^\vee} & (C^*(B) \otimes C^*(B))^\vee \\
 q \uparrow & & \uparrow q & & \uparrow q' \\
 C_*(B) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & C_*(B) \otimes C_*(B) & \xrightarrow{q \otimes q} & C^*(B)^\vee \otimes C^*(B)^\vee.
 \end{array}$$

Observe that $q'' : A^\vee \otimes A^\vee \rightarrow (A \otimes A)^\vee$ is an isomorphism because A is locally finite. This implies that $u^\vee \circ q : C_*(B) \rightarrow A^\vee$ is a quasi-isomorphism of coalgebras. We then have a sequence of quasi-isomorphisms of algebras

$$(2.4) \quad \Omega C_*(B) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\rho := \Omega(u^\vee q)} \Omega(A^\vee) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\mu_1} \mathbb{B}(A)^\vee \xleftarrow[\simeq]{\mu_2} \mathbb{B}(C^*(B))^\vee.$$

Thus the result [19, Proposition 4.2] enables us to obtain equivalences of triangulated categories

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 D(\Omega C_*(B)) & \xrightarrow[-\otimes_{\Omega C_*(B)} \Omega(A^\vee)]{\simeq} & D(\Omega(A^\vee)) & \xrightarrow[-\otimes_{\Omega(A^\vee)} \mathbb{B}(A)^\vee]{\simeq} & D(\mathbb{B}(A)^\vee) \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\mu_2^*} D(\mathbb{B}(C^*(B))^\vee) \\
 \rho^* \xleftarrow{\simeq} & & \mu_1^* \xleftarrow{\simeq} & &
 \end{array}$$

We define $\Theta : D(\Omega C_*(B)) \rightarrow D(\mathbb{B}(C^*(B))^\vee)$ by the composite.

Let $C_1 \xrightarrow{\simeq} C^*(X)$ be an A -semifree resolution for $C^*(X)$; see [8, Propositions 4.6 and 4.7]. Then C_1 fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C^*(X) & \xleftarrow{C^*(f)} & C^*(B) \\
 \uparrow \simeq & & \simeq \uparrow u \\
 C_1 & \xleftarrow[v]{} & A,
 \end{array}$$

where v denotes the natural inclusion. Since $H^*(X)$ is locally finite, we can assume that so is C_1 ; see [8, Proposition 4.6]. Then we can define a comodule structure on $C^\vee$ by the composite $c : C_1^\vee \rightarrow (C_1 \otimes A)^\vee \xleftarrow{\cong} C_1^\vee \otimes A^\vee$. The same argument as above allows us to obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C_1^\vee & \xrightarrow{c} & C_1^\vee \otimes A^\vee \\
 \simeq \uparrow & & \uparrow \simeq \\
 C_*(X) & \xrightarrow{(1 \otimes C_*(f)) \circ \Delta} & C_*(X) \otimes C_*(B),
 \end{array}$$

in which vertical arrows are quasi-isomorphisms. Thus we have an isomorphism $\Omega(C_*(X); C_*(B)) \cong \rho^* \Omega(C_1^\vee; A^\vee)$ in $D(\Omega C_*(B))$. Moreover, it follows from the locally finiteness of C_1 and A that $\Omega(C_1^\vee; A^\vee)$ is isomorphic to $\mu_1^*(\mathbb{B}(C_1; A)^\vee)$ and

that $\mu_2^*(\mathbb{B}(C_1; A)^\vee) \cong \mathbb{B}(C^*(X); C^*(B))^\vee$ in $D(\mathbb{B}(C^*(B))^\vee)$. This completes the proof. $\square$

We have a crucial result on exact functors which connect the triangulated categories $D(C_*(\Omega B))$ and $D(C^*(B))$. The result is a key to proving the duality on (co)chain type levels described in Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 2.3. *One has commutative diagrams*

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & C_*(F_{(-)}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{TOP}_B & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C^*(s(-)) \\
 D(C_*(\Omega B)) & \xrightarrow{R_{C_*(\Omega B)}} & D(\mathbb{B}(C_*(\Omega B))) & \xrightarrow{tD} & D(\mathbb{B}(C_*(\Omega B))^\vee) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\psi^*} & D(C^*(B)), \\
 & & & & & & -\otimes_{C^*(B)}^L \mathbb{B}(C_*(\Omega B))^\vee
 \end{array} \\
 \\
 \begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & C_*(F_{(-)}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{TOP}_B & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C^*(s(-)) \\
 D(C_*(\Omega B)) & \xrightarrow{\phi^*} & D(\mathbb{B}(C^*(B))^\vee) & \xleftarrow{tD} & D(\mathbb{B}(C^*(B))) & \xleftarrow{R_{C^*(B)}} & D(C^*(B)), \\
 & & \nwarrow \sim & & \nwarrow \sim & & \\
 & & -\otimes_{C^*(B)}^L C_*(\Omega B) & & D(\Omega C_*(B)) & &
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

in which all the functors between derived categories are exact.

Proof. Let $f : X \rightarrow B$ be an object in $\mathcal{TOP}_B$. The diagram (2.3) allows one to deduce that

$$\psi^* \circ tD \circ R_{C_*(\Omega B)}(C_*(F_f)) \cong (C_*(F_f) \otimes_{C_*(\Omega B)} \mathbb{B}(C_*(\Omega B); C_*(\Omega B)))^\vee \cong C^*(X)$$

in $D(C^*(B))$. We see that the first diagram is commutative.

By definition, we have $tD \circ R_{C^*(B)} C^*(X) \cong \mathbb{B}(C^*(X); C^*(B))^\vee$ in $D(\mathbb{B}(C^*(B))^\vee)$. The quasi-isomorphism $\Phi : \Omega(C_*(X); C_*(B)) \xrightarrow{\sim} C_*(F_f)$ mentioned in (2.1) yields that $\phi^*(C_*(F_f)) \cong \Omega(C_*(X); C_*(B))$ in $D(\Omega C_*(B))$. Then it follows from Proposition 2.2 that the second diagram is commutative. $\square$

We write $\eta_{\mathcal{E}}$ and ν_A for the composites $\psi^* \circ tD \circ R_{C_*(\Omega B)}$ and $tD \circ R_{C^*(B)}$ in Theorem 2.3, respectively.

Remark 2.4. We observe that $\eta_{\mathcal{E}}(C_*(\Omega B)) = \psi^* \circ tD(\mathbb{K}) = \mathbb{K}_{C^*(B)}$ and $\nu_A(C^*(B)) = \Theta \circ \phi^*(\mathbb{K}_{C_*(\Omega B)})$. Moreover it is readily seen that $\eta_{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbb{K}) = C^*(B)$ and $\nu_A(\mathbb{K}) = \Theta \circ \phi^*(C_*(\Omega B))$.

In order to prove the main theorem, we recall certain results on the level.

Lemma 2.5. [28, Lemma 3.9] *Let M be a DG-module over A . Assume that M is bounded below if A is non-negative and is bounded above if A is non-positive. Then*

$$\dim H(M \otimes_A^L \mathbb{K}) \geq \text{level}_{D(A)}^A(M).$$

The difference between the dimension of $H(M \otimes_A^L \mathbb{K})$ and the level is also in our interest. In general, the difference is very large. The proof of Lemma 2.5 which we provide below exhibits the fact.

Proof of Lemma 2.5. If $\dim H(M \otimes_A^L \mathbb{K}) = \infty$, then the assertion is immediate.

By assumption, the module M admits a minimal semi-free resolution $F \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ endowed with a filtration $\{F^l\}_{l \geq 0}$ of F ; see [7], [10] and [9, Section 2]. We thus obtain triangles $\coprod_i \Sigma^{n_i^0} A \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow \coprod_j \Sigma^{n_j^1} A \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow F^2 \rightarrow \coprod_j \Sigma^{n_j^2} A \rightarrow \dots$,

$F^{n-1} \rightarrow F^n \rightarrow \coprod_j \Sigma^{n_j} A \rightarrow, \dots$. The minimality of the semi-free resolution enables us to deduce that

$$H(M \otimes_A^L \mathbb{K}) = H(F \otimes_A \mathbb{K}) = F \otimes_A \mathbb{K} = \prod_{s \geq 0} \prod_j \Sigma^{n_j^s} \mathbb{K}.$$

Suppose that $\dim H(M \otimes_A^L \mathbb{K})$ is of finite dimension. Then it follows that there exists an integer n such that $F^n \simeq M$ and each index j runs in finite numbers. Thus we see that $M \in \mathbf{thick}_{\mathbf{D}(A)}^{n+1}(A)$. If $n_j^s = 0$ for any j and s , then $M \simeq 0$ and hence the result is obvious. Without loss of generality, we can assume that for any s , $\coprod_j \Sigma^{n_j^s} A$ is non-trivial. We then have

$$\dim H(M \otimes_A^L \mathbb{K}) = \dim \prod_{s=0}^n \prod_j \Sigma^{n_j^s} \mathbb{K} \geq n+1 \geq \text{level}_{\mathbf{D}(A)}^A(M).$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Let $\gamma : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ be an exact functor of triangulated categories. The exactness of the functor induces the following result.

Lemma 2.6. [2, Theorem 2.4 (6)] $\text{level}_{\mathcal{T}}^C(M) \geq \text{level}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\gamma(C)}(\gamma(M))$.

We are now ready to prove our main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. It follows from [10, Proposition 19.2] that $\mathbb{B}(C_*(\Omega B); C_*(\Omega B))$ is a $C_*(\Omega B)$ -semifree resolution of $\mathbb{K}$. Then the result [9, Proposition 6.7] yields that

$$C_*(X) \simeq C_*(F_f) \otimes_{C_*(\Omega B)} \mathbb{B}(C_*(\Omega B); C_*(\Omega B)) \simeq C_*(F_f) \otimes_{C_*(\Omega B)}^L \mathbb{K}.$$

By virtue of Lemma 2.5, we have the first inequality.

Let $F_f \rightarrow E_X \rightarrow B$ be the fibration associated with the map $f : X \rightarrow B$. Since there exists a homotopy equivalence $j : X \rightarrow E_X$ which is in $\mathcal{TOP}_B$, it follows that, as vector spaces,

$$H^*(C^*(X) \otimes_{C^*(B)}^L \mathbb{K}) \cong \text{Tor}_{C^*(B)}(C^*(X), \mathbb{K}) \cong \text{Tor}_{C^*(B)}(C^*(E_X), \mathbb{K}) \cong H^*(F_f).$$

Observe that the third isomorphism is induced by the Eilenberg-Moore map; see for example [12, Theorem 3.3]. By applying Lemma 2.5 again, one has the second inequality.

It follows from Lemma 2.6, the first diagram in Theorem 2.3 and Remark 2.4 that

$$\text{level}_{\mathbf{D}(C_*(\Omega B))}^{C_*(\Omega B)}(C_*(F_f)) \geq \text{level}_{\mathbf{D}(C^*(B))}^{\mathbb{K}}(C^*(X)).$$

The second diagram in Theorem 2.3 yields the converse inequality. The same argument as above works well to obtain the equality in (2). $\square$

3. PROOFS OF PROPOSITIONS 1.5, 1.8 AND THEOREM 1.9

We here recall some full subcategories of a triangulated category $\mathcal{T}$ before proving Proposition 1.5.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathbf{add}^{\Sigma}(\mathcal{A})$ the smallest full subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ that contains $\mathcal{A}$ and is closed under finite coproducts, all shifts and isomorphisms. The category $\mathbf{smd}(\mathcal{A})$ is defined to be the smallest full subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ that contains $\mathcal{A}$ and is closed under retracts. For full subcategories $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{T}$, let $\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B}$ be the full subcategory whose objects L occur in a triangle $M \rightarrow L \rightarrow N \rightarrow \Sigma M$ with

$M \in \mathcal{A}$ and $N \in \mathcal{B}$. Then we see that $\mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^n(C) = \mathbf{smd}(\mathbf{add}^{\Sigma}(C)^{*n})$; see [5] and [2, 2.2.1].

We give a triangular inequality on levels.

Lemma 3.1. (cf. [28, The proof of 6.3.2(3)]) *Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category and C, C' objects in $\mathcal{T}$. If $\text{level}_{\mathcal{T}}^C M \leq n$ and $\text{level}_{\mathcal{T}}^{C'} C \leq l$, then $\text{level}_{\mathcal{T}}^{C'} M \leq nl$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that if $M \in \mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^n(C)$ and $C \in \mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^l(C')$, then $M \in \mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^{nl}(C')$.

Since the thickening $\mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^l(C')$ is closed under finite coproducts, all shifts and retracts, it follows that $\mathbf{add}^{\Sigma}(C) \subset \mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^l(C')$ and hence $\mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^1(C) \subset \mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^l(C')$. Assume that $\mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^i(C) \subset \mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^{il}(C')$ for $i \leq n-1$. For any object $M \in \mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^n(C)$, there exists a triangle $M_1 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow \Sigma M_1$ such that M is a retract of M' , $M_1 \in \mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^{n-1}(C)$ and $M_2 \in \mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^1(C)$. This yields that

$$\begin{aligned} M &\in \mathbf{smd}(\mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^{(n-1)}(C) * \mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^1(C)) \\ &\subset \mathbf{smd}(\mathbf{smd}(\mathbf{add}^{\Sigma}(C')^{*(n-1)l}) * \mathbf{smd}(\mathbf{add}^{\Sigma}(C')^{*l})) \\ &= \mathbf{smd}(\mathbf{add}^{\Sigma}(C')^{*(n-1)l} * \mathbf{add}^{\Sigma}(C')^{*l}) \\ &= \mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{T}}^{nl}(C'). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the first equality follows from [5, Lemma 2.2.1]. This completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 1.5. Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 1.4 induce the inequalities. In fact, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{level}_{D(C_*(\Omega B))}^{C_*(\Omega B)}(C_*(F_f)) &= \text{level}_{D(C^*(B))}^{\mathbb{K}}(C^*(X)) \\ &\leq \text{level}_{D(C^*(B))}^{\mathbb{K}}(C^*(B)) \cdot \text{level}_{D(C^*(B))}^{C^*(B)}(C^*(X)) \\ &= \text{level}_{D(C_*(\Omega B))}^{C_*(\Omega B)}(\mathbb{K}) \cdot \text{level}_{D(C^*(B))}^{C^*(B)}(C^*(X)) \\ &\leq \dim H_*(B) \cdot \text{level}_{D(C^*(B))}^{C^*(B)}(C^*(X)). \end{aligned}$$

We here observe that $C_*(F_{id}) \cong \mathbb{K}$ in $D(C_*(\Omega B))$ for the homotopy fibre F_{id} of the identity map on B . The second inequalities follow from the same consideration as above. Observe that the based loop space ΩB is the homotopy fibre of the map $*$ $\rightarrow$ B . $\square$

Proof of Proposition 1.8. By replacing the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B & \xrightarrow{s} & X \\ \downarrow = & & \downarrow \pi \\ B & \xrightarrow{=} & B, \end{array}$$

which is homotopy commutative, to a totally fibred square, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & B & \xrightarrow{s} & X \\ & & \downarrow \iota_2 \simeq & & \downarrow \iota_1 \\ \Omega F_{\pi} & \longrightarrow & B' & \longrightarrow & X' \end{array}$$

in which ι_1 and ι_2 are homotopy equivalences and bottom sequence is a fibration; see [26, Propositions 3.2.2 and 3.2.3]. The map ι_1 gives rise to an equivalence

$C^*(\iota_1)^* : D(C^*(X)) \rightarrow D(C^*(X'))$ of triangulated categories. It is immediate that $C^*(\iota_1)^*(C^*(B)) \cong C^*(B')$. This yields that

$$\text{level}_{D(C^*(X'))}^{C^*(X')} C^*(B') = \text{level}_{D(C^*(X))}^{C^*(X)} C^*(B).$$

In view of the Leray-Serre spectral sequence of the fibration $\Omega F_\pi \rightarrow PF_\pi \rightarrow F_\pi$, it follows that $H^*(F_\pi; \mathbb{K}) = \mathbb{K}$ if and only if $H^*(\Omega F_\pi; \mathbb{K})$ and $H^*(F_\pi; \mathbb{K})$ are of finite dimension. Observe that F_π is simply-connected since π has a right inverse. By Corollary 1.6 (2), we have the result. $\square$

Before proving Theorem 1.9, we consider a special case for the assertion.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $F \rightarrow X \rightarrow B$ be a fibration with B simply-connected. Suppose that $H^*(F; \mathbb{K}) \cong H^*(S^l; \mathbb{K})$ as a graded vector space. Then one has*

$$\text{level}_{D(C^*(B; \mathbb{K}))}^{C_*(B; \mathbb{K})} C^*(X; \mathbb{K}) \leq 2.$$

Moreover, $\text{level}_{D(C^*(B; \mathbb{K}))}^{C_*(B; \mathbb{K})} C^*(X; \mathbb{K}) = 2$ if and only if $H^*(X; \mathbb{K})$ is not a free $H^*(B; \mathbb{K})$ -module.

The following lemma serves to prove Proposition 3.2.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $X \rightarrow B$ be an object in $\mathcal{TOP}_B$. Then $\text{level}_{D(C^*(B))}^{C_*(B)} C^*(X) = 1$ if and only if $H^*(X; \mathbb{K})$ is a free $H^*(B; \mathbb{K})$ -module.*

Proof. Suppose that $\text{level}_{D(C^*(B))}^{C_*(B)} C^*(X) = 1$. Then by definition, we see that $C^*(X)$ is a retract of a free $C^*(B)$ -module. Therefore $H^*(X)$ is a projective $H^*(B)$ -module and hence $H^*(X)$ is a free $H^*(B)$ -module; see [10, page 274 Remark 1] for example.

We see that $\text{level}_{D(H^*(B))}^{H^*(B)} H^*(X) = 1$ if $H^*(X)$ is a free $H^*(B)$ -module. The result [22, Corollary 7.3] implies that $\text{level}_{D(C^*(B))}^{C_*(B)} C^*(X)$ is less than or equal to $\text{level}_{D(H^*(B))}^{H^*(B)} H^*(X)$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Let S_l be the homotopy fibre of the projection $X \rightarrow B$. We observe that $H^*(S_l; \mathbb{K}) \cong H^*(F; \mathbb{K}) \cong H^*(S^l; \mathbb{K})$. By virtue of Theorem 1.4(2), to prove the proposition, it suffices to show that $\text{level}_{D(C_*(\Omega B))}^{\mathbb{K}} C_*(S_l) \leq 2$. We define a DG subalgebra R of $C_*(\Omega B)$ by $R_0 = \mathbb{K}$, $R_1 = \text{Ker } d$ and $R_{\geq 2} = C_{\geq 2}(\Omega B)$. It is immediate that the inclusion $i : R \rightarrow C_*(\Omega B)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Then the map i induces an equivalence of categories $i^* : D(C_*(\Omega B)) \rightarrow D(R)$. Then we have $i^*(\mathbb{K}) = \mathbb{K}$ and $i^*(C_*(S_l)) = C_*(S_l)$. Therefore we conclude that

$$\text{level}_{D(C_*(\Omega B))}^{\mathbb{K}} C_*(S_l) = \text{level}_{D(C_*(R))}^{\mathbb{K}} C_*(S_l).$$

Let N be a DG R -submodule of $C_*(S_l)$ defined by $N_{\leq l-1} = 0$, $N_l = \text{Im } d$ and $N_i = C_i(S_l)$ for $i > l$. Since N is acyclic, it follows that the projection $C_*(S_l) \rightarrow C_*(S_l)/N$ is a quasi-isomorphism of R -modules. Moreover, we can construct a triangle in $D(R)$ of the form $\Sigma^{-l}\mathbb{K} \rightarrow C_*(S_l)/N \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \rightarrow$. In fact, the projection from the quotient $(C_*(S_l)/N)/\Sigma^{-l}\mathbb{K}$ to $\mathbb{K}$ is a quasi-isomorphism of R -modules. Then the triangle yields that $\text{level}_{D(R)}^{\mathbb{K}} C_*(S_l) = \text{level}_{D(R)}^{\mathbb{K}} C_*(S_l)/N \leq 2$. We have the result. The latter half of the assertion follows from Lemma 3.3. $\square$

Remark 3.4. We can prove Proposition 3.2 by using a minimal semifree resolution $\Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} C^*(X)$ of $C^*(X)$ as a $C^*(B)$ -module. Indeed, we see that

$$H^*(\mathbb{K} \otimes_{C^*(B)} \Gamma) = H^*(\mathbb{K} \otimes_{C^*(B)}^L C^*(X)) = H^*(S^l) = \mathbb{K}\{1, w\},$$

where $\deg 1 = 0$ and $\deg w = l$. This implies that the filtration of F has class at most 2; see [2, 4.1]. Proposition 3.2 follows from [2, Theorem 4.1].

We use again Lemma 3.1 to prove Theorem 1.9.

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Consider the maps $C^*(B) \xrightarrow{\alpha} C^*(X_i) \xrightarrow{p_{i+1}^*} C^*(X_{i+1})$, where $\alpha = (p_i \circ \cdots \circ p_1)^*$. Then the map α induces an exact functor $\alpha^* : D(C^*(X_i)) \rightarrow D(C^*(B))$. By virtue of Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 2.6, we have

$$2 \geq \text{level}_{D(C^*(X_i))}^{C^*(X_i)} C^*(X_{i+1}) \geq \text{level}_{D(C^*(B))}^{\alpha^* C^*(X_i)} \alpha^* C^*(X_{i+1}) = \text{level}_{D(C^*(B))}^{\alpha^* C^*(X_i)} C^*(X_{i+1}).$$

Therefore Lemma 3.1 and the induction hypothesis allow us to deduce that

$$\text{level}_{D(C^*(B))}^{C^*(B)} C^*(X_{i+1}) \leq \text{level}_{D(C^*(B))}^{C^*(B)} \alpha^* C^*(X_i) \cdot \text{level}_{D(C^*(B))}^{\alpha^* C^*(X_i)} C^*(X_{i+1}) \leq 2^i \cdot 2.$$

We have the first inequality. The second inequality follows from Proposition 1.5. $\square$

4. A VARIANT OF KOSZUL DUALITY FOR DG ALGEBRAS

In this section, we describe a result concerning Theorem 2.3, which is regarded as a variant of the Koszul duality for DG algebras.

We begin by recalling briefly the result on a coderived category due to Lefèvre-Hasegawa [23].

Let (A, d_A, ε_A) and (C, d_C, ε_C) be a augmented DG algebra and coaugmented DG coalgebra over a field $\mathbb{K}$. By using the kernel $\overline{C}$ of the counit of C , we have a decomposition $C = \overline{C} \otimes \mathbb{K}$. There is a coproduct $\overline{\Delta} : \overline{C} \otimes \overline{C}$ defined by $\overline{\Delta}(x) = \Delta(x) - x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x$. We say that a coaugmented DG coalgebra is *cocomplete* if $\overline{C} = \bigcup_{l \geq 1} \text{Ker}(\overline{\Delta}^{(l)} : \overline{C} \rightarrow \overline{C}^{\otimes l+1})$, where $\overline{\Delta}^{(l)}$ is the iterated coproduct defined by $\overline{\Delta}^{(l)} = (\overline{\Delta} \otimes 1^{\otimes l-1}) \circ \cdots \circ (\overline{\Delta} \otimes 1) \circ \overline{\Delta}$. By definition, a *twisted cochain* $\tau : C \rightarrow A$ is a $\mathbb{K}$ -linear map of degree +1 such that $\varepsilon_A \circ \tau \circ \varepsilon_C = 0$ and

$$d_A \circ \tau + \tau \circ d_C + \mu_A \circ (\tau \otimes \tau) \circ \Delta_C = 0,$$

where μ_A and Δ_C are the multiplication of A and the comultiplication of C , respectively. Let M be a right DG module over A . Then we defined the *twisted tensor product* $M \otimes_\tau C$ to be the comodule $M \otimes C$ over C endowed with the differential

$$d = d_M \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d_C - (\mu_M \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \tau \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \Delta_C).$$

For a DG comodule N over C , we define the DG module $N \otimes_\tau A$ similarly. Let Δ_N be the comodule structure of a DG comodule N over C . We say that N is cocomplete if $N = \bigcup_{l \geq 1} \text{Ker}(\overline{\Delta}_N^{(l)} : N \rightarrow N \otimes \overline{C}^{\otimes l})$, where $\overline{\Delta}_N(x) = \Delta_N(x) - x \otimes 1$ for $x \in N$ and $\overline{\Delta}_N^{(l)}$ denotes the iterated comodule structure defined by the same way as the iterated coproduct on $\overline{C}$.

Let C be a cocomplete DG coalgebra and $\tau_0 : C \rightarrow \Omega C$ the canonical twisting cochain. Then the category $\text{Com}C$ of cocomplete DG comodule over C admits the structure of a model category for which $f : N \rightarrow N'$ is a weak equivalence, by definition, if and only if $f \otimes 1 : N \otimes_{\tau_0} \Omega C \rightarrow N' \otimes_{\tau_0} \Omega C$ is a quasi-isomorphism. For the details, see [23, Théorème 2.2.2.2]. Observe that f is a weak equivalence, then f

is a quasi-isomorphism. This fact follows from [8, Proposition 2.14]. We define the coderived category $D(C)$, which is a triangulated category, to be the localization of the category $\mathbf{Com}C$ at the class of all weak equivalences.

Theorem 4.1. [23, Lemma 2.2.1.2, Proposition 2.2.4.1] *Let $\tau : C \rightarrow A$ be a twisting cochain. Then one has adjoint functors*

$$D(C) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{L := -\otimes_\tau A} \\ \xleftarrow{R := -\otimes_\tau C} \end{array} D(A)$$

between triangulated categories.

Theorem 4.2. [23, Proposition 2.2.4.1] *The following are equivalent.*

- (i) *The map τ induces a quasi-isomorphism $\Omega(C) \rightarrow A$.*
- (ii) *The canonical map $A \otimes_\tau C \otimes_\tau A \rightarrow A$ is a quasi-isomorphism.*
- (iii) *The functor L and R in Theorem 4.1 are equivalences.*

Let V be a finite dimensional, non-negatively graded vector space with $V^{\text{odd}} = 0$. Let SV be the polynomial algebra and $\wedge SV$ the primitively generated coalgebra with the underlying space is the exterior algebra on ΣV . Then the projection from $\wedge SV$ to ΣV and the inclusion from V to SV give rise to a twisting cochain $\tau : \wedge SV \rightarrow SV$. Thus we have exact functors between derived and coderived categories

$$D((\wedge SV)^\vee\text{-}\mathbf{mod}) \xleftarrow{F} D(\wedge SV) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{L := -\otimes_\tau SV} \\ \xleftarrow{R := -\otimes_\tau \wedge SV} \end{array} D(SV).$$

Here F denotes the functor introduced in Section 2.

The existence of the two-sided Koszul resolution (see for example [4]) implies that the functor R gives an equivalence with inverse L . Indeed this follows from the equivalence of the assertions (ii) and (iii) in Theorem 4.2. Moreover, since the vector space V is of finite dimension, the functor F is also an equivalence between $D(\wedge SV)$ and $D((\wedge SV)^\vee\text{-}\mathbf{mod})$; see Remark 2.1. Let η denote the composite functor $F \circ R$. Then we see that for any object M in $D(SV)$,

$$(4.1) \quad \text{level}_{D(SV)}^{SV}(M) = \text{level}_{D((\wedge SV)^\vee\text{-}\mathbf{mod})}^{\mathbb{K}}(\eta(M))$$

Observe that $\eta(SV) = F(SV \otimes_\tau \wedge SV) \cong F(\mathbb{K}) = \mathbb{K}$. This equality plays a crucial role in proving [2, Theorem 7.1] and [3, Theorem 8.4].

More generally, the proof of [14, Theorem 4.4] enables us to deduce the following result.

Theorem 4.3. (cf. [2, Theorem 7.4], [14, Theorem 4.7]) *Let A be a locally finite, simply-connected DG algebra over a field $\mathbb{K}$. Suppose that the dual $(\mathbb{B}A)^\vee$ to the bar construction is formal in the sense that $(\mathbb{B}A)^\vee$ admits a TV-model $TV \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{B}A)^\vee$ together with a quasi-isomorphism $TV \xrightarrow{\sim} H((\mathbb{B}A)^\vee) = \text{Ext}_A(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K})$. Assume further that $\text{Ext}_A(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K})$ is of finite dimension. Then one has equivalences*

$$D(\text{Ext}_A(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K})\text{-}\mathbf{mod}) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{h} \\ \xleftarrow{t} \end{array} D(A)$$

of triangulated categories for which t satisfies the condition that $t(\mathbb{K}) = \text{Ext}_A(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K})^\vee$ and $t(A) = \mathbb{K}$ in $D(\text{Ext}_A(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K})\text{-}\mathbf{mod})$.

Let A be a DG algebra as in Theorem 4.3. Then it follows that for an object M in $D(A)$,

$$(4.2) \quad \text{level}_{D(A)}^A(M) = \text{level}_{D(\text{Ext}_A(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K})\text{-mod})}^{\mathbb{K}}(t(M)).$$

Moreover, in Example 4.6 below, we will see that Theorem 4.3 recovers (4.1).

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Since A is simply-connected and locally finite, it follows that the bar construction is also locally finite. Thus we can assume that for the TV-model $TV \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{B}A)^\vee$, the graded vector space V is locally finite; see the proof of Proposition 2.2. Then the sequence of quasi-isomorphisms

$$E := \text{Ext}_A(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K}) = H((\mathbb{B}A)^\vee) \xleftarrow{\sim} TV \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{B}A)^\vee$$

of DG algebras induces a sequence of quasi-isomorphisms

$$\Omega(E^\vee) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega(TV^\vee) \xleftarrow{\sim} \Omega((\mathbb{B}A)^{\vee\vee}) \xleftarrow{\sim} \Omega(\mathbb{B}A) \xrightarrow{\sim} A$$

as DG algebras. Thus we have equivalences

$$D(\Omega(E^\vee)) \xrightleftharpoons[\beta]{\alpha} D(A)$$

of triangulated categories for which $\beta(A) = \Omega(E^\vee)$ and $\beta(\mathbb{K}) = \mathbb{K}$. The canonical twisting cochain $\tau_0 : E^\vee \rightarrow \Omega(E^\vee)$ induces the identity map $\Omega(E^\vee) \rightarrow \Omega(E^\vee)$. By Theorem 4.2, we have equivalences

$$D(\mathbf{comod}\text{-}(E^\vee)) \xrightleftharpoons[R = -\otimes_{\Omega(E^\vee)} \mathbb{B}(\Omega(E^\vee); \Omega(E^\vee))]{L} D(\Omega(E^\vee)).$$

Since $E^\vee$ is a finite dimensional coalgebra by assumption, it follows that the functor

$$F : D(\mathbf{comod}\text{-}(E^\vee)) \xrightarrow{\sim} D(E\text{-mod}),$$

which is defined in Section 2, gives an equivalence of triangulated categories; see Remark 2.1. Then one has an equivalence $t := F \circ R \circ \beta : D(A) \rightarrow D(E)$. It is readily seen that $t(\mathbb{K}) = E^\vee$ and $t(A) = \mathbb{K}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 4.4. Let A be a Koszul DG algebra in the sense of He and Wu [14]. Then all the assumptions in Theorem 4.3 for A are satisfied provided $\mathbb{K}$ is a compact object in $D(A)$; see [14, Theorem 3.5]. Indeed part of the proof of Theorem 4.3 is essentially the same as that of [14, Lemma 3.7].

In Theorem 4.3, we do not use a Koszul object in the starting point but the derived categories are not restricted. However the theorem certainly connects a DG algebra with its Ext-algebra. Thus we may call Theorem 4.3 a variant of the Koszul duality.

The following proposition provides examples of DG algebras which satisfy the assumptions in Theorem 4.3.

Proposition 4.5. *Let E be a non-positively graded, connected DG algebra; that is, $E^0 = \mathbb{K}$ and $E^i = 0$ for $i > 1$. Suppose further that E is formal and of finite dimension. Put $A = \Omega(E^\vee)$. Then the algebra $(\mathbb{B}A)^\vee$ is a formal and $H((\mathbb{B}A)^\vee) \cong$*

$\text{Ext}_A(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K}) \cong H(E)$ as algebras. In consequence, the DG algebra A satisfies all the assumptions in Theorem 4.3. Thus one has equivalences

$$\mathbf{D}(H(E)\text{-mod}) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{h} \\ \xleftarrow[t]{\simeq} \end{array} \mathbf{D}(\Omega(E^\vee))$$

of triangulated categories for which $t(\Omega(E^\vee)) = \mathbb{K}$ and $t(\mathbb{K}) = H(E)^\vee$.

Proof. Since E is a finite dimensional DG algebra, it follows from [8, Proposition 2.14] that there exists a quasi-isomorphism $\alpha : E^\vee \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{B}A = \mathbb{B}\Omega(E^\vee)$ of coalgebras. Let $\eta : TV \xrightarrow{\simeq} (\mathbb{B}\Omega(E^\vee))^\vee$ be a TV-model. We then have a sequence

$$E \cong E^{\vee\vee} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow[\alpha^\vee \circ \eta]{\simeq} \\ \xrightarrow[\eta]{\simeq} \end{array} TV \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow[\eta]{\simeq} \\ \xrightarrow[\eta]{\simeq} \end{array} (\mathbb{B}A)^\vee$$

of quasi-isomorphism of DG algebras. By assumption, the DG algebra E is formal. This enables us to obtain quasi-isomorphisms $H(E) \xleftarrow{\simeq} TW \xrightarrow{\simeq} E$. The lifting lemma [9, Lemma 3.6] yields a quasi-isomorphism $TV \xrightarrow{\simeq} H(E)$ of DG algebras and hence $(\mathbb{B}A)^\vee$ is formal. $\square$

Example 4.6. Let E be an exterior algebra $\wedge(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ generated by $x_1, \dots, x_n$, where $-\deg x_i$ is odd for any i . We have an isomorphism $H(\Omega(E^\vee)) \cong H((\mathbb{B}E)^\vee) = \text{Tor}_E(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K})^\vee$ of algebras which sends the cycles $\langle x_i^\vee \rangle$ to $[x_i]^\vee$. Moreover it follows that there exists an isomorphism

$$\eta : \text{Tor}_E(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K}) = H(\mathbb{B}E) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \Gamma[sx_1, \dots, sx_n]$$

of coalgebras such that $\eta([x_i]) = sx_i$, where $\deg sx_i = \deg x_i - 1$ and $\Gamma[sx_1, \dots, sx_n]$ denotes the divided power Hopf algebra with the comultiplication $\Delta(\gamma_i(sx_j)) = \sum_{k+l=j} \gamma_i(sx_j) \otimes \gamma_k(sx_l)$; see the proof of [20, Lemma 1.5]. Thus we see that the algebra $H(\Omega(E^\vee))$ is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{K}[sx_1^\vee, \dots, sx_n^\vee]$, where $\deg sx_i^\vee = -\deg x_i + 1$. Since the algebra $\Omega(E^\vee)$ is free, it follows that there exists a quasi-isomorphism $\theta : \Omega(E^\vee) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{K}[sx_1^\vee, \dots, sx_n^\vee]$ of algebras such that $\theta(\langle x_i^\vee \rangle) = sx_i^\vee$ for i . This implies that $\Omega(E^\vee)$ is formal. Therefore Theorem 4.3 and Proposition 4.5 allow us to obtain equivalences

$$\mathbf{D}(\wedge(x_1, \dots, x_n)\text{-mod}) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{h} \\ \xleftarrow[t]{\simeq} \end{array} \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{K}[sx_1^\vee, \dots, sx_n^\vee])$$

of triangulated categories. This result is a generalization of [2, Theorem 7.4]; see also [18, Section 4].

We conclude this section with a comment on Theorem 1.4.

If we take $C^*(B)$ as the algebra A in Theorem 4.3, then the dual $(\mathbb{B}A)^\vee$ is connected to $C_*(\Omega B)$ with quasi-isomorphisms in (2.2) and (2.4). Then one might regard the equalities in Theorem 1.4 as specialization of the equality (4.2). However, we emphasize that, in the proof of Theorem 1.4, neither the formality of DG algebra $C_*(\Omega B)$ nor the finiteness condition on $H_*(\Omega B) = \text{Ext}_{C^*(B)}(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{K})$ is assumed.

We also expect that the diagrams in Theorem 2.3 are of great use when considering relationships between appropriate homological and topological invariants. In fact, Theorem 2.3 asserts that the image of $\mathcal{TOP}_B$ by $C_*(F_{(-)})$ is equivalent to that of $\mathcal{TOP}_B$ by $C^*(s(-))$ as a category.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Jim Stasheff for his interest in this work and for comments on Theorem 4.3.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. F. Adams, On the cobar construction, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **42**(1956), 409-412.
- [2] L. L. Avramov, R. -O. Buchweitz, S. B. Iyengar and C. Miller, Homology of perfect complexes, *Adv. Math.* **223**(2010), 1731-1781. arXiv: [math.AC/0609008v2](#).
- [3] L. L. Avramov and S. B. Iyengar, *Cohomology over complete intersections via exterior algebras*, LMS Lecture Notes Series 375, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010, pp. 52-75.
- [4] P. F. Baum and L. Smith, Real cohomology of differential Fibre bundles, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **42**(1967), 171-179.
- [5] A. Bondal and M. Ban den Bergh, Generators and representability of functors in commutative and non-commutative geometry, *Moscow Math. J.* **3**(2003), 1-36.
- [6] W. Dwyer, J. P. C. Greenlees, Complete modules and torsion modules, *American Journal of Math.* **124**(2002), 199-220.
- [7] Y. Félix, S. Halperin and J. -C. Thomas, Gorenstein spaces. *Adv. in Math.* **71**(1988), 92-112.
- [8] Y. Félix, S. Halperin and J. -C. Thomas, Adams' cobar equivalence, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **329**(1992), 531-549.
- [9] Y. Félix, S. Halperin and J.-C. Thomas, Differential graded algebras in topology, in: I.M. James (Ed.), *Handbook of Algebraic Topology*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1995, pp. 829-865.
- [10] Y. Félix, S. Halperin and J. -C. Thomas, *Rational Homotopy Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **205**, Springer-Verlag.
- [11] A. Frankild and P. Jørgensen, Homological properties of cochain differential graded algebras, *J. Algebra* **320**(2008), 3311-3326.
- [12] V. K. A. M. Gugenheim and J. P. May, On the Theory and Applications of Differential Torsion Products, *Memoirs of Amer. Math. Soc.* **142** 1974.
- [13] S. Halperin and J. -M. Lemaire, Notions of category in differential algebra, *Algebraic Topology: Rational Homotopy*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1318, Springer, Berlin, New York, 1988, pp. 138-154.
- [14] J.-W. He and Q.-S. Wu, Koszul differential graded algebras and BGG correspondence, *J. Algebra*, **320**(2008), 2434-2962.
- [15] D. Husemoller, J. C. Moore and J. Stasheff, Differential homological algebra and homogeneous spaces. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **5** (1974), 113-185.
- [16] P. Jørgensen, Auslander-Reiten theory over topological spaces, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **79**(2004), 160-182.
- [17] B. Keller, Deriving DG categories, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **27**(1994), 63-102.
- [18] B. Keller, Koszul duality and coderived categories, preprint, 2003.
- [19] I. Kriz and J. P. May, Operads, algebras, modules and motives. *Astérisque*, no. 233, 1995.
- [20] K. Kuribayashi, On the mod p cohomology of spaces of free loops on the Grassmann and Stiefel manifolds, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **43**(1991), 331-346.
- [21] K. Kuribayashi, On the levels of maps and topological realization of objects in a triangulated category, to appear in *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra*, arXiv: [math.AT/1102.3271](#).
- [22] K. Kuribayashi, Upper and lower bounds of the (co)chain type level of a space, to appear in *Algebra and Representation Theory*, arXiv: [math.AT/1006.2669](#).
- [23] K. Lefèvre-Hasegawa, *Sur les A_∞ -catégories*, Thèse de Doctorat, Université Denis Diderot - Paris 7, November, 2003, arXiv: [math.CT/0310337v1](#).
- [24] S. Montgomery, *Hopf algebras and their actions on rings*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 82, the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1993.
- [25] H. J. Munkholm, The Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence and strongly homotopy multiplicative maps, *J. Pure and Appl. Alge.* **5**(1974), 1-50.
- [26] J. Neisendorfer, *Algebraic methods in unstable homotopy theory*, New Mathematical Monographs, 12, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [27] R. Rouquier, Dimensions of triangulated categories, *J. K-Theory* **1**(2008), 193-256.
- [28] K. Schmidt, Auslander-Reiten theory for simply connected differential graded algebras, preprint (2008), arXiv: [math.RT/0801.0651v1](#).